

Each line represents a trajectory of a forceps that is displayed in the Augmented Reality system

Comparison of existing algorithms: LCSS vs. DTW

LCSS -Points are matched or not (impractical for replay) -Each point is matched only once

-Threshold matching -Maximizes matched points (this distance measure is not optimal for different update rates of tracking systems)



synchronization using DTW using DTW using to the synchronization using to



Outcome

- Both, DTW and LCSS solve temporal registration problem with the same computational effort, but DTW gives superior results
- After synchronization (temporal registration) a direct comparison is possible:
 - by AR 3D visualization from different viewpoints at any speed
 - as a quantitative measure of success in reproducing actions
- Statistical comparison of many experts' performances allows automatic classification of important parts of complex movement
- Alignment of data not limited to 3D trajectory, e.g. forces, other parameters of simulator
- First promising trials on online synchronization



Offline synchronization for

- Comparing novice to expert
- Obtaining typical (average)
 movements of experts
- Finding critical parts of a performance

Online synchronization provides:

- Interactive feedback during performance
- Context dependent information

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Frank Sauer, Ali Khamene, and Sebastian Vogt from Siemens Corporate Research in Princeton, USA for the courtesy of providing us with the AR system RAMP. We would like to thank A.R.T. GmbH, Herrsching, Germany for the courtesy of providing their multiple camera tracking system. Furthermore, we thank Rainer Burgkart and Tobias Obst, Klinikum Rechts der Isar, Munich, Germany for the courtesy of providing us with their Delivery Simulator.